UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,503	10/29/2003	Gabriel Keita	ESOA:002US	5749
	7590 08/21/200 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRES	SS AVE.		VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
SUITE 2400 AUSTIN, TX 78701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,503	KEITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	av 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17,20 and 23-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17,20 and 23-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1791

1.Claims 15-17, 20 and 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is indefinite in calling for a method and not specifically reciting what the method is. Ie, a preamble needs to be inserted after "method" in line 1 so that it can be ascertained exactly what the method is intended to cover. In claim 31, line 10, the recitation "retracting the instrument…" is indefinite in that it has not been set forth that the instrument has indeed been placed in the cavity to begin with. A recitation should be added to claim 15 to set forth that the instrument punctures the sealing material or is placed into the cavity through the puncture. Note that support must exist for the latter—ie, puncturing the sealing material and then putting the instrument into the cavity through the puncture.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-17, 20, 23 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese document 10-146,846 in view of either of Keita et al -689 or Magne -839 and Rice et al (see col. 11, lines 35-40).

Japanese -846 and Keita et al -689 and Magne -839 are applied for reasons of record, the references teaching the basic claimed method lacking essentially the aspect of rotating the mold/mold cavity about a horizontal axis. Rice et al teaches polymerizing a

Page 3

lens material that has been injected into a lens mold and that the mold would be rotated 180 degrees during the curing. It would have been obvious to have modified the method of Japanese -846 by rotating the mold cavity to ensure that the polymerizable material therein is uniformly polymerized. The exact amount of rotation—ie, 90 or 180 degrees—would have been within the skill level of the art.

3.Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese document 10-146,846 in view of either of Keita et al -689 or Magne -839 and Rice et al (see col. 11, lines 35-40) and further in view of Reed et al (see col. 3, lines 6-13) essentially for reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 2, supra and paragraph 5 of the previous action.

4.Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's comments with respect to Su et al -220 are persuasive and this reference has been replaced with Rice et al in the rejection. Needless to say, Rice et al clearly teaches rotating a mold cavity and this would facilitate an even curing during the polymerization.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/696,503 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot August 18, 2008 /Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791